



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/814, 125 10/814, 194 10/814, 195	4/1/04	Frostegord	EPCL: 01015 EPCL: 01115 EPCL: 01215
		EXAMINER	lisa cook
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1641	11/15/06

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven L. Highlander (37,642) (3) Lisa Cook (examiner)
(2) Long Le (Spec) (4) _____

Date of Interview 11/15/06

Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Fox filed 11/13/06 - with claim modifications

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed: Non-final actions of record. Specifically references to Borquino et. al., muzya et. al., Baldo et. al., and Ostermann et. al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Specifically applicant argued that the primary reference to Borquino et. al. taught away from the instant invention showing results different from the claimed antibody PAF measurements of record (claimed). Accordingly the reference of Borquino et. al. will be removed from the rejections. Further prior art will be addressed in applicant's response + 132

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) WUZYA et. al. exhibited skewed results with respect to PAF. Specifically, in pregnancy disorders, prescrewing against APC. For examiner consideration

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Lisa Cook
11/15/06